

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

<b>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Plaintiff,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>vs.</b>	)	<b>CRIMINAL NO. 12-30171-DRH</b>
	)	
<b>MARCUS R. STEWART,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Defendant.</b>	)	

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

The United States of America and the Defendant, having both filed a written consent, appeared before me pursuant to FEDERAL RULE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE 11 and SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS LOCAL RULE 72.1(b)(2). The Defendant entered a plea of guilty to Count I, II and III of the Second Superseding Indictment.

After cautioning and examining the Defendant under oath concerning each of the subjects mentioned in Rule 11, I determined that the guilty plea was knowing and voluntary, and that the offenses charged are supported by an independent factual basis containing each of the essential elements of such offense.

I therefore **RECOMMEND** that the Defendant's plea of guilty be accepted; that a presentence investigation report be prepared, and that the Defendant be adjudicated guilty and have sentence imposed accordingly.

**DATED: December 13, 2013**

/s/ **Stephen C. Williams**  
STEPHEN C. WILLIAMS  
United States Magistrate Judge

**NOTICE**

Failure to file a written objection to this Report and Recommendation **within fourteen (14) days** from the date of its service shall bar an aggrieved party from attacking such Report and Recommendation before the assigned District Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B).